

## DOCUMENT RESUME

ED 431 384

IR 019 515

AUTHOR Stewart, Robert G.; Cuffman, Darcey M.  
TITLE Needs Assessment: A Systematic Approach for Successful Distance Education.  
PUB DATE 1998-04-00  
NOTE 8p.; Paper presented at the Mid-South Instructional Technology Conference (3rd, Murfreesboro, TN, April 5-7, 1998).  
AVAILABLE FROM Web site:  
<http://www.mtsu.edu/~itconf/proceed98/rstewart.html>  
PUB TYPE Reports - Evaluative (142) -- Speeches/Meeting Papers (150)  
EDRS PRICE MF01/PC01 Plus Postage.  
DESCRIPTORS Curriculum Development; Data Analysis; \*Distance Education; \*Educational Assessment; Educational Planning; Educational Technology; Evaluation Criteria; Evaluation Methods; Higher Education; \*Instructional Development; Instructional Effectiveness; Interactive Television; Literature Reviews; \*Needs Assessment

## ABSTRACT

The purpose of this paper is to promote and improve needs assessment in distance education through the exploration of eight questions: (1) what is a needs assessment?; (2) how are needs assessments related to successful distance education?; (3) where have needs assessments been used in distance education?; (4) which approach to needs assessment should one choose?; (5) what sources and methods might one use for collecting data?; (6) what should one consider when conducting a curriculum development needs assessment?; (7) what factors influence (i.e., inhibit, facilitate) the use of needs assessment results?; and (8) what criteria can be used to evaluate a needs assessment? The scope of the paper is limited to include only interactive television (ITV), its complexities, and its criteria for educational materials. To aid further study, sample distance education needs assessments are cited. (Contains 38 references.) (Author/AEF)

\*\*\*\*\*  
\* Reproductions supplied by EDRS are the best that can be made \*  
\* from the original document. \*  
\*\*\*\*\*

# Needs Assessment: A Systematic Approach for Successful Distance Education

Robert G. Stewart  
Darcey M. Cuffman

- Abstract
- Introduction
- Literature Review and Discussion
- Successes
- Applications
- Approaches
- Sources and Methods
- Curriculum Considerations
- Inhibitors and Facilitators
- Evaluation Criteria
- Conclusions
- References
- About the Author

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION  
Office of Educational Research and Improvement  
EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION  
CENTER (ERIC)

- ☐ This document has been reproduced as received from the person or organization originating it.
- ☐ Minor changes have been made to improve reproduction quality.

- Points of view or opinions stated in this document do not necessarily represent official OERI position or policy.

"PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE THIS  
MATERIAL HAS BEEN GRANTED BY

L. Lea

TO THE EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES  
INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)."

## Introduction

The purpose of this paper is to promote and improve needs assessment in distance education through the exploration of seven questions: (a) What is a needs assessment? (b) How are needs assessments related to successful distance education? (c) Where have needs assessments been used in distance education? (d) Which approach to needs assessment should one choose? (e) What sources and methods might one use for collecting data? (e) What should one consider when conducting a curriculum development needs assessment? (f) What factors influence (i.e., inhibit, facilitate) the use of needs assessment results? and (g) What criteria can be used to evaluate a needs assessment? To aid further study, example distance education needs assessments have been cited.

## Introduction

Today we are witnessing in education major changes in the delivery of courses. "Distance education" may be delivered at the same time to different locations, at different times to the same place, or at different times to different locations.

"... current courses taught in the traditional lecture-based format cannot be transported to a distance learning environment without modification. . . and must incorporate instructional design features that will enhance distance learning" (Cyrs and Conway, p. ix). However, the instructor need not be the sole designer of a course offered via ITV, since Oliver points out that the creation of an ITV course should be a team approach (Willis, p. 175). New skills and expertise are needed to design a course being offered via distance learning, and fortunately many institutions have instructional designers available to assist faculty with the ITV course and materials development.

It is important to remember than this presentation/paper will limit the scope to include only interactive television (ITV), its complexities, and its criteria for educational materials.

## Literature Review and Discussion

For this discussion, we will define needs assessment as "any systematic approach to setting priorities for future action" (Witkin, 1984, p. ix). Surprisingly, the terminology of needs assessment can be elusive for both novice and

experienced "assessors" (Csete, 1996). For example, the terms "needs analysis, front-end analysis, goal analysis, task analysis and strategic planning" (Csete, 1996, p. 2) might be used as synonyms for needs assessment. Unfortunately, various distinctions have been made among these terms; the distinction reduces synonymy and perpetuates elusiveness. One such distinction can be made between needs assessment and its similar sounding counter-part needs analysis. According to Kaufman (1985), "needs assessments involve identifying and justifying gaps in results, and placing the gaps in prioritized order for attention" (p. 21). Subsequently, a needs analysis "provides a fine grained determination of where a need is coming from, and provides clues to how the need may be reduced or eliminated" (Kaufman, 1985, p. 21). While having been used in numerous settings (e.g., healthcare, government, business) needs assessment is also a systematic approach for successful distance education.

## Successes

**Opinions.** Given the assertion that needs assessments are related to successful distance education, one is entitled to evidence for that statement. In 1990-1991, the Western Cooperative for Educational Telecommunications conducted a series of focus groups with elementary, secondary, and postsecondary education representatives experienced in telecommunication systems development. The participants concluded that "anticipating and supporting the needs of the users of technologies, programs and services has emerged as being critical to the success of distance educational enterprises" (Wagner, 1993, p. 28). With regard to rural community colleges, MacBrayne (1995) has observed that successful distance education involved a thorough assessment of learner needs. However, one could dismiss these statements as nothing more than opinions. Fortunately, fact-based evidence does exist.

**Facts.** An evaluation of 83 basic skills open learning centers established in England and Wales by The Adult Literacy and Basic Skills Unit (1993), "demonstrated that successful open learning had to include . . . needs assessment" (p.1). Moreover, observations made by Knirk & Christinaz (1990) at 22 U.S. training centers, identified as the having the best facilities, revealed that most centers use needs assessments in their instructional development process. However, one could argue that the use of needs assessment by successful organizations does not imply a cause-and-effect relationship. Or does it? Knott (1992) reminds us that the Learn Alaska network failed because a needs assessment was not conducted. Conversely, Kootnz's (1989), using data gathered from nine institutions with established programs, concludes that "an institutional needs assessment is . . . not a predictor of a successful DLP [distance learning program]" (p.5). To amend this debate, readers should decide for themselves whether needs assessments are related to successful distance education. Dissenters may find solace in van Enckevort's (1984) assertion "that needs research generally is not a good means for deciding whether a new institute should be or can be established"

## Applications

Burton & Merrill (1977) conclude that "it is possible, at least in theory, to conduct educational needs assessments on a global level down to the level of a module within a course" (p. 28). Support for this theory is evident by the use of needs assessment in key areas of distance education: state-wide systems development (e.g., American Indian Higher Education Consortium, 1993; Evans Associates, 1993; Mississippi Center for Educational Leadership and Technology, 1995; Roberts, 1992), program establishment (e.g., Hart, 1994), and delivery system selection (e.g., Adair, Griffin & Steinhausen, 1994; Bernard & Naidu, 1990; Hanson, 1990; Hardy et al. 1995; Hart, Hart & Benavides, 1992; Kundu, 1993; Mingle, 1995). Other areas include faculty and staff development (e.g., Braimoh, 1994; Kochery, 1997; Moskal et al. 1997; Murphy & Terry, 1995; Pennsylvania State University, 1993; Rogers, 1991), library and information service provision (e.g., Jagannathan, 1996), and curriculum/instructional development (e.g., Curtis, 1985; Curtis & Bakshi, 1984).

## Approaches

Despite the importance of needs assessments, many are poorly designed or not conducted at all (Ceste, 1996). To explain this trend, Ely (1996) points out that "the popularity of distance education and the attempt to immediately utilize new technologies often bypasses an initial analysis and needs assessment" (p. 1). Additionally, Witkin (1984) concludes "there is no one model or conceptual framework for needs assessment that has been universally accepted, and there is little empirical evidence of the superiority of one approach over another" (p.29). Moreover, existing models are so numerous and diverse that criteria for selecting an appropriate approach have been developed (Ceste, 1996). For example, Witkin (1984) has developed a model to aid in the selection of an educational needs assessment approach. The model consists of nine diagnostic questions which are keyed to a needs assessment

product locator (Witkin, 1978). However, in absence of the product locator, the following questions are still useful for evaluating needs assessment models and structuring procedures:

1. Who wants a needs assessment?
2. Why is a needs assessment wanted?
3. What should be the scope of the assessment?
4. On whose needs will you focus and at what level?
5. What kinds and amounts of data should be collected for your purposes?
6. What sources and methods might you use for data collection?
7. What are your constraints on data collection?
8. What can you invest in people, money, and time?
9. What needs assessment products meet your purposes, constraints, and resources?

(Witkin, 1984, p. 35-36).

### Sources and Methods

Witkin's (1984) sixth question "What sources and methods might you use for data collection?" warrants further exploration. Witkin and Altschuld (1995) have identified two types of secondary information (i.e., information collected and archived by others) for needs assessments: social indicators and existing agency or institution records. Of these, social indicators are often the most useful for educational needs assessments (Witkin, 1984). Typical sources of educational social indicators are (a) multi-level assessment program results, (b) data from existing needs assessments, (c) related program evaluations, (d) accreditation records, (e) records of student and teacher absences, (h) demographic data, (i) district level census data, and (j) classroom observations (Witkin & Altschuld, 1995). Three basic survey methods for collecting needs assessment data include: questionnaires, interviews, and the critical incident technique. Of these, the written questionnaire is the most common method of collecting needs assessment data (Witkin & Altschuld, 1995). The following questions should be asked by assessors when designing a questionnaire:

1. How does the survey fit with other NA [needs assessment] data collection methods?
2. What kinds of questions need to be asked, based on the preassessment?
3. What types of decisions will be made from the collected data?
4. What kinds of questions or items will elicit usable data?
5. How will the data be analyzed and collated with other NA [needs assessment] data to establish priorities? (p. 134)

### Curriculum Considerations

As with most fields, curriculum has both theoretical and practical aspects. Curriculum theory involves using reflection to understand course content and instruction methods (Kowalski, 1988). Subsequently, curriculum development (i.e., practice) involves making decisions about content and designing a course of study. In general, Kowalski (1988), provides four basic questions one should ask about curriculum development needs: (a) Who will be involved in the learning process? (b) How can the learners needs be defined? (c) How can experience be organized to meet learner needs? (d) What content provides the best learning value? With regard to distance education, Parker and Monson (1980) advocate four "teletechniques" (i.e., curriculum development needs) which are critical for successful teaching/learning experiences: humanization (e.g., using student names, viewing student pictures), interaction (e.g., encouraging site-to-site discussion, role playing), (e) variation (e.g., fluctuating voice, varying class activities), and evaluation (e.g., obtaining written and verbal feedback from students).

### Inhibitors and Facilitators

Unfortunately, the majority of needs assessment projects that reach completion never have their results used constructively (Ceste, 1996). To abate this trend, Witkin (1984) has identified eight "inhibitors of utilization" that assessors should avoid:

- (a) failure to develop a utilization plan,

- (b) lack of administrative support,
- (c) early departure of project director,
- (d) use of political criteria to set priorities,
- (e) relying solely on external consultants,
- (f) failure to define project scope,
- (g) failure to secure funding for implementation, and
- (h) lack of project continuity because of administrative and staff turnovers.

Specifically, Ceste (1996) has identified three inhibitors which plague "novice needs assessors":

- (a) ambiguous terminology,
- (b) unanticipated time constraints, and
- (c) unrealistic definition of project scope (i.e., either too broad or too narrow).

Conversely, Rossing (1982) has identified eight "facilitators of utilization" that assessors should embrace:

- (a) understanding of real-world decision-making processes and program development politics,
- (b) clear statement of project purpose,
- (c) critical knowledge of needs assessment methods and processes,
- (d) provision of cause-and-effect information for decision making,
- (e) integration of needs assessment and program planning,
- (f) involving of key stakeholders,
- (g) specification of project requirements, and
- (h) exercising frugality with regard to project resources.

## Evaluation Criteria

Witkin and Altschuld (1995) propose that formative evaluation should be used to monitor the progress of a needs assessment, while a summative evaluation should be used to determine "How well . . . the NA [needs assessment] meet its stated goals?" (p.37). They provide seven questions one should ask during a formative evaluation: (a) Are the needs assessment tasks being performed according to the project agreement? (b) Can the needs assessment activities be modified if necessary? (c) Is the data complete? (d) Does the data adequately represent the desired needs? (e) How useful is the data for developing action plans? (f) Are the action plans executable with regard to detail, realisticness and flexibility? and (g) Are the key decision makers and stakeholders receiving adequate progress reports? For a summative evaluation, possible questions might be (a) To what extent did the assessment meet its goals? (b) What were the assessments strengths and weaknesses? (c) Were there any unanticipated outcomes? and (d) What changes could be recommended for future assessments? (Witkin & Altschuld, 1995).

## Conclusion

Moore and Kearsley (1996) conclude that "there cannot be within any unit, institution, or the nation at large, or even in a global network, a viable distance education program in the future that is not in some way integrated into a total system" (p. 245). Likewise productive needs assessments are dependent upon an systematic approach. The integration of needs assessment as part of a total distance education system should benefit all stakeholders (e.g., faculty, administrators, students).

## References

Adair, S. R., Griffin, S., & Steinhausen, M. (1994, April). Assessment of telecommunications needs at the Texas Department of Health. In A. Yakimovicz (Ed.), Distance learning research conference proceedings (pp. 11-18). College Station: Texas A and M University. (ERIC Document Reproduction Service No. ED 369 898)

Adult Literacy and Basic Skills Unit (1993). Open learning centers in England and Wales 1988-92. London, England, United Kingdom: Author. (ERIC Document Reproduction Service No. ED 356 401)

American Indian Higher Education Consortium. (1993). American Indian Higher Education Consortium (AIHEC) Telecommunications Planning Project: Year one, final report and recommendations. Lincoln, NE: Author. (ERIC



Document Reproduction Service No. ED 360 135)

Bernard R. M., & Naidu, S. (1990). Enhancing interpersonal communication in distance education: Can "voice-mail" help? *Educational and Training Technology International*, 27(3), 293-300.

Braimoh, D. (1994). A theoretical framework of training needs analysis for African para-medical personnel through distance education. *Studies in Educational Evaluation*, 20(2), 265-277.

Burton, J. K. & Merrill, P. F. (1977). Needs assessment: Goals, needs, and priorities. In Briggs, L. J. (Ed.), *Instructional design* (pp. 21-45). Englewood Cliffs, NJ: Educational Technology Publications.

Csete, J. M. (1996, April). Needs assessment: What difference does (and can) it make? Paper presented at the annual meeting of the American Educational Research Association, New York, NY. (ERIC Document Reproduction Service No. ED 396 002)

Curtis, F. (1985). Demand and supply considerations for evaluating a new distance education program in natural resources planning and management in North America. *Environmentalist*, 5(2), 129-135.

Curtis, F. A., & Bakshi, T. S. (1984). Market research for a proposed natural resources planning and management program by home study. *Distance Education*, 5(1), 93-102.

Ely, D. P. (1996, June). Distance education: By design or default? Paper presented at the conference of the Association for Educational Communications and Technology, Tallahassee, FL. (ERIC Document Reproduction Service No. ED 396 715)

Evans Associates. (1993). Distance education technology study. Final report. Executive summary presented to Wisconsin Educational Communications Board. Thiensville, WI: Author. (ERIC Document Reproduction Service No. ED 370 538)

Hanson, G. (1990, September/October). Distance education and educational needs: A model for assessment. *Media and Methods*, 27, 14, 17-18, 52.

Hardy, D. W., et al. (1995). Motion curricula and non-motion curricula in distance education: Technology selection reconsidered. *Canadian Journal of Educational Communication*, 24(2), 105-115.

Hart, P. K. (1994). An investigation into the feasibility of interactive distance education courses at the community college level. Unpublished master's thesis, Ferris State University, Traverse City, Michigan. (ERIC Document Reproduction Service No. ED 372 813)

Hart, R. A., Hart, P. B., & Benavides, O. E. (1992). Establishing rural ITFS distance education programs: The California State University, Fresno Experience. Fresno, CA: Authors. (ERIC Document Reproduction Service No. ED 346 846)

Jagannathan, N. (1996). Library and information services for distance learners. *Resource Sharing & Information Networks*, 11(1-2), 159-170.

Kaufman, R. (1985, July/August). Needs assessment, needs analysis, objectives and evaluation. *Performance & Instruction*, 24, 21.

Knirk, F. G., & Christinaz, D. (1990, April). Instructional technology adoption in the best adult training organizations. Paper presented at the annual meeting of the American Educational Research Association, Boston, MA. (ERIC Document Reproduction Service No. ED 323 965)

Knott, T. D. (1992, September). Determining societal needs for distance education in tomorrow's global village. *Educational Media International*, 29, 162-164.

Kochery, T. S. (1997, February). Distance education: A delivery system in need of cooperative learning. Paper

presented at the National Convention of the Association for Educational Communications and Technology, Albuquerque, NM. (ERIC Document Reproduction Service No. ED 409 847)

Koontz, F. R. (1989, February). Practices and procedures in the administration of ITV distance learning programs at selected institutions in higher education. Paper presented at the annual meeting of the Association of Educational Communications and Technology, Dallas, TX. (ERIC Document Reproduction Service No. ED 318 459)

Kowalski, T. J. (1988). The organization and planning of adult education. Albany, NY: State University of New York Press.

Kundu, M. R. (1993). The techniques of teletraining\_new enhancements. *Journal of Educational Technology Systems*, 21(2), 141-144.

MacBrayne, P. S. (1995). Distance education: The way of the future for rural community colleges. *New Directions for Community Colleges*, 23(2), 55-64.

Mingle, J. R. (1995, December). Vision and reality for technology-based delivery systems in postsecondary education. Paper presented at the Governor's Conference on Higher Education, St. Louis, MO. (ERIC Document Reproduction Service No. ED 394 400)

Mississippi Center for Educational Leadership and Technology (1995). Mississippi Master Plan for Education Technology. Final Report. Marlborough: Mississippi Council for Education Technology. (ERIC Document Reproduction Service No. ED 398 868)

Moore, M. G., & Kearsley, G. (1996). Distance education: A systems view. Belmont, CA: Wadsworth Publishing Company.

Moskal, P., et al. (1997). Educational technology and distance education in Central Florida: An assessment of capabilities. *American Journal of Distance Education*, 11(1), 6-22.

Murphy, T., & Terry, R. (1995, March). Needs assessment of agriculture faculty regarding distance education. In Flowers, J. (Ed.), *Research in Agricultural Education: Proceedings of the Annual Southern Agricultural Education Research Meeting* (pp. 139-153). Wilmington, NC. (ERIC Document Reproduction Service No. ED 382 886)

Parker, L., & Monson, M. (1980). *Teletechniques: An instructional model for interactive teleconferencing*. Instructional Design Library, 38. Englewood Cliffs, NJ: Educational Technology Publications.

Pennsylvania State University (1993). Assessing the need, acceptability, and available resources for adult literacy staff development through distance education in rural Pennsylvania and recommended models to meet the needs. Middletown. Author. (ERIC Document Reproduction Service No. ED 368 874)

Roberts, J. (1992). Development of a distance education network in the OECS. Feasibility study. Filling a gap in a way that makes sense. Report of a consultancy to the commonwealth of learning. Vancouver, British Columbia, Canada: Commonwealth of Learning. (ERIC Document Reproduction Service No. 364 767)

Rogers, A. (1991). The continuing education and training of agricultural extension staff in Nambia with special reference to distance learning: An exercise in participatory curriculum development. Reading, England, United Kingdom: Education for Development. (ERIC Document Reproduction Service No. ED 366 723)

Rossing, B. E. (1982, October). The gap between needs assessment and program implementation. Paper presented at the annual meeting of the Evaluation Network/Evaluation Research Society, Baltimore, MD.

van Enkevort, G. (1984, March). Problems of research for a New Open University. *Distance Education*, 5, 72-83.

Wagner, E. D. (1993, April). Variables affecting distance educational program success. *Educational Technology*, 33, 28-32.

Witkin, B. R. (1978). Needs assessment product locator: Available needs assessment products and how to select them for local use. Hayward, CA: Office of the Alameda County Superintendent of Schools.

Witkin, B. R. (1984). Assessing needs in educational and social programs. San Francisco: Jossey-Bass.

Witkin, B. R., & Altschuld, J. W. (1995). Planning and conducting needs assessments: A practical guide. Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage.

## **Author**

### **Robert G. Stewart**

Graduate Research Assistant  
College of Applied Science and Technology  
Box 70553  
East Tennessee State University  
Johnson City, Tennessee 37614-0553

**and**

### **Darcey M. Cuffman**

Video Resource Coordinator, Office of Distance Education  
School of Continuing Studies  
Box 70427  
East Tennessee State University  
Johnson City, TN 37614-0427

[\[TOP\]](#)





**U.S. Department of Education**  
Office of Educational Research and Improvement (OERI)  
National Library of Education (NLE)  
Educational Resources Information Center (ERIC)



## **NOTICE**

### **REPRODUCTION BASIS**



This document is covered by a signed “Reproduction Release (Blanket) form (on file within the ERIC system), encompassing all or classes of documents from its source organization and, therefore, does not require a “Specific Document” Release form.



This document is Federally-funded, or carries its own permission to reproduce, or is otherwise in the public domain and, therefore, may be reproduced by ERIC without a signed Reproduction Release form (either “Specific Document” or “Blanket”).